

Exhibit T

VOL. I
PAGES 1-124
EXHIBITS 1-20

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

C.A. NO.: 04-CV-11340DPW

* * * * *

STEPHEN KEEFE,

PLAINTIFF,

VS

LOCALS 805, INTERNATIONAL

LONGSHOREMEN'S ASSOCIATION,

AFL-CIO, ET. AL,

DEFENDANTS.

* * * * *

DEPOSITION OF STEPHEN KEEFE, taken on
behalf of the Defendants, pursuant to the
applicable provisions of the Federal Rules of
Civil Procedure, before Bernadette J. D'Alelio,
Notary Public and Court Reporter within and for
the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, at the
Offices of Mullen & McGourty, 52 Temple Place,
Boston, Massachusetts, on May 8, 2006,
at 10:01 a.m., as follows:

Page 86

1 A. Rental property.
2 Q. What else, if anything?
3 A. Legal or illegal?
4 Q. Other income. You say in your --
5 A. I'm saying he has other incomes. Can
6 I name illegal or legal?
7 Q. You ought to consult with your
8 attorney about that. I'm asking you in
9 Paragraph -- with regard to Paragraph 36 where
10 you say "other jobs and incomes."
11 A. I will leave it as rental income.
12 Q. What about McGaffegan?
13 A. Pensions.
14 Q. What else, if anything?
15 A. I don't know of anything else.
16 Q. Now, what do you understand the reason
17 to be for your suspension in 2003?
18 A. I don't know. When the rules
19 committee knows that there are other people
20 that have other incomes, I guess I had another
21 income.
22 Q. In Paragraph 33 at the top of Page 6
23 in your complaint, in your second sentence, you
24 say, "The rules committee suspended Keefe for

Page 87

1 six months and put him back into Gang 12 for
2 supposedly having another job."
3 Did I read that correctly?
4 A. Correct.
5 Q. The next sentence is, "Keefe continues
6 to have another income from JTC, not another
7 job, and the rules committee members know
8 that."
9 Did I read that correctly?
10 A. Yes.
11 Q. That is actually the same response you
12 provided in request for admissions, Number 32,
13 just about; isn't that right?
14 A. Yup.
15 Q. So your understanding of the reason
16 for your suspension was that the rules
17 committee held -- determined that you had
18 another job; is that fair to say?
19 A. Yes.
20 Q. And we already went through all the
21 John T. Clark W-2s.
22 In 2003 you already admitted that you
23 did receive W-2 income from John T. Clark;
24 isn't that right?

Page 88

1 A. Yes.
2 Q. Let me see if I can get right to the
3 main issue.
4 It is your contention that because you
5 received that income, but you really weren't
6 doing any work for it, that that wasn't another
7 job?
8 A. Correct. Like a lot of other union
9 members down there do the same thing.
10 Q. But you understand that you reported
11 the money you received to the federal and state
12 government as income that you earned from
13 working at John T. Clark; is that right?
14 A. Correct.
15 MR. LATHROP: Objection to the
16 form of the question.
17 BY MR. MAHONEY:
18 Q. Leaving aside your claims about
19 Mr. Lee for the moment, but with regard to
20 Mr. Picard and Mr. McGaffegan, you said rental
21 property and then pension, money received from
22 rental property and pension. And I know you're
23 not a tax attorney.
24 That is not reportable income; isn't

Page 89

1 that fair to say?
2 MR. LATHROP: Objection.
3 A. I don't know.
4 Q. Do you get any pension whatsoever?
5 A. No.
6 Q. But we already went over that you know
7 the difference between income received from a
8 stock distribution rather than income. You're
9 familiar with that, right?
10 MR. LATHROP: Objection.
11 A. Yes.
12 Q. Do you have any understanding,
13 Mr. Keefe, generally speaking, of what the
14 phrase "passive income" is?
15 A. No.
16 Q. Do you have any understanding of the
17 word or phrase "unearned income"?
18 A. No.
19 Q. On your tax returns, which we don't
20 have as of yet, did you report any unearned
21 income for, say, 2002 and 2003?
22 A. I don't think so, no.
23 Q. In Paragraph 37 of your complaint, you
24 say, "Other union members have other jobs and

23 (Pages 86 to 89)